



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,546	03/04/2002	Katherine Godfrey	01-4004D	5440

32127 7590 02/17/2004

VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN
600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE
MAILCODE HQEO3H14
IRVING, TX 75038

EXAMINER

GAUTHIER, GERALD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2645

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/090,546

Applicant(s)

GODFREY ET AL.

Examiner

Gerald Gauthier

Art Unit

2645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. **Claims 1-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benson et al. (US 2002/0067821) in view of Brehm et al. (US 2002/0118813).

Regarding **claim 1**, Benson discloses a telephone call center performance evaluation (§ 0001), (which reads on claimed “a method of visually representing call events and completion times on a call-type basis for calls to an automated response system (§ 0030) of a call processing center (10 on FIG. 1), a call to the call processing center including an interactive voice response portion (§ 0029) of the call, and, at a

caller's option, a hold portion of the call (§ 0038) and an agent-caller dialog portion of the call”), the method comprising the steps of:

obtaining a recording of calls (§ 0029, line 1 “the update call log”) recorded from end to end (§ 0029) [The update call log performs the function of updating with information from the telephony network];

annotating events (§ 0042, line 9 “an alerting event”) of interest that occurred during a recorded call (§ 0042, line 6 “in the process the call log”), time stamping a time (§ 0042, line 13 “the time when the monitor is alerted”) when each event of interest occurred, and determining a call type of the call (§ 0042) [In the process the call log daily report database is updated with the appropriate information such as the alerting time];

segregating time stamp data for predetermined significant events of the annotated events of interest, the time stamp data for the predetermined significant events providing timings for the predetermined significant events (§ 0042) [The update call log performs the function of updating with the time that the agent connects and also the disconnect time. The times are separated in different logs as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D];

tabulating the timings by call type (§ 0042) [The times are separated in different logs and call type as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D].

Benson discloses a display of bar graphs on FIG. 7 but fails to disclose the display of the timing bar graphs.

However, Brehm teaches preparing and visually displaying bar graphs (FIG. 6) to illustrate the timings of the predetermined significant events for each call type (§ 0055) [The data on FIG. 6 show bar graphs of the historical database with different timings].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the timing graphs prepared for the calls of Brehm in the invention of Benson.

The modification of the invention would offer the capability of the timing graphs prepared for the calls such as the information given by a caller would be transferred among various applications.

Regarding **claims 2, 6, 10 and 14**, Benson discloses wherein the significant events include a caller entering the IVR portion, a caller being placed on hold, and a caller being transferred to a live agent (§ 0038).

Regarding **claims 3, 7, 11 and 15**, Benson discloses wherein call types are categorized by call dispositions of the plurality of recorded calls (§ 0042).

Regarding **claims 4, 8, 12 and 16**, Benson discloses wherein call types are categorized by final destinations of the plurality of recorded calls (§ 0043).

Regarding **claim 5**, Benson discloses a telephone call center performance evaluation (§ 0001), (which reads on claimed “an apparatus for visually representing call

events and completion times on a call-type basis for calls to an automated response system (§ 0030) of a call processing center (10 on FIG. 1), a call to the call processing center including an interactive voice response portion (§ 0029) of the call, and, at a caller's option, a hold portion of the call (§ 0038) and an agent-caller dialog portion of the call"), the apparatus comprising:

means for obtaining a recording of calls recorded from end to end (§ 0029) [The update call log performs the function of updating with information from the telephony network];

means for annotating events of interest that occurred during a recorded call, time stamping a time when each event of interest occurred, and determining a call type of the call (§ 0042) [In the process the call log daily report database is updated with the appropriate information such as the alerting time];

means for segregating time stamp data for predetermined significant events of the annotated events of interest, the time stamp data for the predetermined significant events providing timings for the predetermined significant events (§ 0042) [The update call log performs the function of updating with the time that the agent connects and also the disconnect time. The times are separated in different logs as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D];

means for tabulating the timings by call type (§ 0042) [The times are separated in different logs and call type as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D].

Benson discloses a display of bar graphs on FIG. 7 but fails to disclose the display of the timing bar graphs.

However, Brehm teaches means for preparing and visually displaying bar graphs (FIG. 6) to illustrate the timings of the predetermined significant events for each call type (§ 0055) [The data on FIG. 6 show bar graphs of the historical database with different timings].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the timing graphs prepared for the calls of Brehm in the invention of Benson.

The modification of the invention would offer the capability of the timing graphs prepared for the calls such as the information given by a caller would be transferred among various applications.

Regarding **claim 9**, Benson discloses a telephone call center performance evaluation (§ 0001), (which reads on claimed “a system for visually representing call events and completion times on a call-type basis for calls to an automated response system (§ 0030) of a call processing center (10 on FIG. 1), a call to the call processing center including an interactive voice response portion of the call (§ 0029), and, at a caller's option, a hold portion of the call (§ 0038) and an agent-caller dialog portion of the call”), the system being operable to:

obtain a recording of calls recorded from end to end (§ 0029) [The update call log performs the function of updating with information from the telephony network];

annotate events of interest that occurred during a recorded call, time stamping a time when each event of interest occurred, and determining a call type of the recorded

call (§ 0042) [In the process the call log daily report database is updated with the appropriate information such as the alerting time];

segregate time stamp data for predetermined significant events of the annotated events of interest, the time stamp data for the predetermined significant events providing timings for the predetermined significant events (§ 0042) [The update call log performs the function of updating with the time that the agent connects and also the disconnect time. The times are separated in different logs as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D];

tabulate the timings by call type (§ 0042) [The times are separated in different logs and call type as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D].

Benson discloses a display of bar graphs on FIG. 7 but fails to disclose the display of the timing bar graphs.

However, Brehm teaches preparing and visually displaying bar graphs (FIG. 6) to illustrate the timings of the predetermined significant events for each call type (§ 0055) [The data on FIG. 6 show bar graphs of the historical database with different timings].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the timing graphs prepared for the calls of Brehm in the invention of Benson.

The modification of the invention would offer the capability of the timing graphs prepared for the calls such as the information given by a caller would be transferred among various applications.

Regarding **claim 13**, Benson discloses a telephone call center performance evaluation (§ 0001), (which reads on claimed “a computer program product (12 on FIG. 1) embodying a program for implementing a method of visually representing call events and completion times on a call-type basis for calls to an automated response system (§ 0030) of a call processing center (10 on FIG. 1), a call to the call processing center including an interactive voice response portion of the call (§ 0029), and, at a caller's option, a hold portion of the call (§ 0038) and an agent-caller dialog portion of the call”), the computer program product comprising:

code for obtaining a recording of calls recorded from end to end (§ 0029) [The update call log performs the function of updating with information from the telephony network];

code for annotating events of interest that occurred during a recorded call, time stamping a time when each event of interest occurred, and determining a call type of the call (§ 0042) [In the process the call log daily report database is updated with the appropriate information such as the alerting time];

code for segregating time stamp data for predetermined significant events of the annotated events of interest, the time stamp data for the predetermined significant events providing timings for the predetermined significant events (§ 0042) [The update call log performs the function of updating with the time that the agent connects and also the disconnect time. The times are separated in different logs as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D];

code for tabulating the timings by call type (§ 0042) [The times are separated in different logs and call type as shown on FIGs. 5A-5D].

Benson discloses a display of bar graphs on FIG. 7 but fails to disclose the display of the timing bar graphs.

However, Brehm teaches code for preparing and visually displaying bar graphs (FIG. 6) to illustrate the timings of the predetermined significant events for each call type (§ 0055) [The data on FIG. 6 show bar graphs of the historical database with different timings].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the timing graphs prepared for the calls of Brehm in the invention of Benson.

The modification of the invention would offer the capability of the timing graphs prepared for the calls such as the information given by a caller would be transferred among various applications.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-16** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (703) 305-0981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,546
Art Unit: 2645

Page 11

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4800.

g.g.
February 8, 2004

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Fan Tsang', written over the printed name and title.